

## U.S. Department of Education Grant Performance Report (ED 524B) Project Status Chart OUTCOME FOCUSED SHELL EXAMPLE

PR/Award # (11 characters): \_

SECTION A - Performance Objectives Information and Related Performance Measures Data (See Instructions. Use as many pages as necessary.)

**1. Project Objective** [] Check if this is a status update for the previous budget period.

As a result of PTI **information and training**, parents, professionals, and youth are more knowledgeable about evidence-based practices, special education laws, and relevant resources.

1.a. Performance Measure	Measure Type	Quantitative Data						
The percentage of materials used by Parent Training and Infor-		Target Actu				al Performance Data		
mation Centers projects deemed to be of high quality by an inde-	PROGRAM	Raw			Raw			
pendent review panel of experts qualified to review the substantive	This is a pro-	Number	Ratio	%	Number	Ratio	%	
content of the products or services.	gram measure		93 /100			100/100		
Required GPRA measure	b/c the data is		Everyone will			Everyone		
	about the entire		use this target	93		will use	100	
	parent center		number for	)5		this ratio	100	
	program		quality			for quality		

1.b. Performance Measure	Measure Type	Quantitative Data						
85% of responses on post-workshop surveys will rate the <i>quality</i> of		Target			Actual Performance Data			
the workshop as 3 or 4 on a 4-point Likert scale.	PROJECT	Raw			Raw			
Center's individual GPRA measure	This is a project	Number	Ratio	%	Number	Ratio	%	
	measure b/c it is		85/100			422/485		
	from the indi-		Target identi-			The # of		
	vidual parent		fied in our grant			workshop		
	center project					surveys		
						that came		
				85		back as	87	
				05		high quali-	07	
						ty / the		
						number of		
						surveys we		
						got back in		
						total		

1.c. Performance Measure	Measure Type	ive Data					
Short term measure: 85% report increased awareness of special education, disability resources, effective early learning practices, school-aged practices, postsecondary practices, or data to inform	PROJECT	Target			Actual Performance Data		
		Raw Number	Ratio	%	Raw Number	Ratio	%
decision making. Dutcome measure comes directly from the project's logic model			85/100 Target is from logic model			368/438 The # of workshop surveys that came back with	
				85		increased awareness / the number of surveys we got back in total	84

1.d. Performance Measure	Measure Type	Quantitative Data						
Medium term measure: 80% report increased knowledge & skills in accessing or sharing relevant resources effective early learning, school-aged, or post-secondary practices, or data to inform deci-	PROJECT	Target			Actual Performance Data			
		Raw Number	Ratio	%	Raw Number	Ratio	%	
school-aged, of post-secondary practices, of data to inform deel- sion-making. Outcome measure comes directly from the project's logic model			80/100 Target is from logic model	80		21/25 The # of CPIR data collection surveys that came back indi- cating able to under- stand in- formation / the number of surveys we got back in	84	

1.e. Performance Measure	Measure Type PROJECT	Quantitative Data							
Long term measure: 75% of parents use the knowledge and re- sources they have acquired to cooperatively & effectively help their children meet the challenging academic achievement standards that		Target			Actual Performance Data				
		Raw Number	Ratio	%	Raw Number	Ratio	%		
have been established for all children. Outcome measure comes directly from the project's logic model			75/100 Target is from logic model	75		23/25 The # of CPIR data collection surveys that came back indi- cating able to use in- formation d knowledge / the num- ber of sur- veys we got back in total	92		

## Explanation of Progress (Include Qualitative Data and Data Collection Information)

1a. Additional information for this program measure available from OSEP. (No need to give further info since this is OSEP's measure.)

1b. **87% of post workshop survey responders (right after training) rated the workshop as high quality (this is the measure we reported on in the chart.** This is also where we point out which evaluation tool we used to collect this data). 86% indicated workshop information was useful and 84% indicated content was relevant to their needs. (This is just additional evaluation data we collect but did not report on in chart) PTI committed to provide training on core topics & emerging issues to 1,500/year. We met our goal, with 163 workshops reaching over 4,223 parents and others (over 12% culturally diverse). Numbers reported come from registration lists for webinars and in-person training, archived virtual trainings and registration by phone and email. Parents and others attending multiple trainings were counted for each training attended. Various training modes were used: 82 in-person trainings that reached 2,467; 69 webinars for 1,533; 10 phone workshops for 141. On-demand website archived videos/webinars reached 82. (This is our "widget data" in other words the activities we did to get to the outcomes in the chart.)

1c. 84% of responders from post-workshop surveys reported increased awareness of special education-related resources. We are 1% below goal and will continue to monitor. Website: averaged 7,484 visits a month; 89,807 for the year; most visited pages – events, online resources, our services. Most download resources: a) IEP Checklist – 321 downloads, b) Special Ed in Plain Language – 209 downloads; c) Questions if Considering a Virtual School for a Special Needs Child – 126 downloads; and d) 2020 WI FACETS Training Calendar – 108 downloads. (More widget data supporting our outcome measures)

1d. **84% of parents surveyed** (Parent Center Program Measures Survey) indicated they Agreed or Strongly Agreed that they were able to understand the information provided by the PTI and information provided by the PTI. 76% also indicated that the information provided helped them learn more about how to meet their child's needs.

1e. 92% of parents surveyed (Parent Center Program Measures Survey) indicated they Agreed or Strongly Agreed that they felt prepared to use information they received within the past six months from the PTI. We exceeded the 75% goal.